### LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONS

Consider the classical dynamical system, the flow

 $\Sigma: \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{x})$ 

with  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^n$ , the state, and  $f : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$ , the vector-field. Denote the set of solutions  $x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{X}$  by  $\mathfrak{B}$ , the 'behavio

LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONS



is said to be a Lyapunov function for  $\Sigma$  if along  $x\in\mathfrak{B}$ 

 $rac{d}{dt}V(x)\leq 0$ 

Equivalently, if

$$V^{\Sigma} := \nabla V \cdot f \leq 0$$

– p.2/26

- p.1/26

Lyapunov function

> systen/ trajec

# LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONS

**DISSIPATIVE SYSTEMS** 

Lectures by

Jan C. Willems

Consider the classical dynamical system, the flow

 $\Sigma: \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{x})$ 

with  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^n$ , the state, and  $f : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}$ , the vector-field. Denote the set of solutions  $x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{X}$  by  $\mathfrak{B}$ , the 'behavior'.

 $V:\mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

### LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONS

### LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONS

**Refinements:** LaSalle's invariance principle.

Converse: Kurzweil's thm.

LQ theory  $\rightsquigarrow A^{\top}X + XA = Y$ 

'(matrix) Lyapunov equation'.

A linear system  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{x}$  is stable if and only if it has a quadratic positive definite Lyapunov function.

Basis for most stability results in diff. eq'ns, physics, (adaptive) control, system identification, even numerical analysis.

### Lyapunov functions play a remarkably central role in the field.



Aleksandr Mikhailovich Lyapunov (1857-1918)

Introduced Lyapunov's 'second method' in his Ph.D. thesis (1899)

– p.2/26

### **OPEN SYSTEMS**

**'Open' systems** are a much more appropriate starting point for the study of dynamics. For example,



### **OPEN SYSTEMS**

**'Open' systems** are a much more appropriate starting point for the study of dynamics. For example,



What is the analogue of a Lyapunov function for 'open' systems?

→ Dissipative systems

### THEME

### THEME

### A dissipative system absorbs supply.



### A dissipative system absorbs supply.

How do we formalize this?
Physical examples.
Conditions for dissipativeness in terms of
 (state space, transfer function) system representations.
Linear-quadratic theory.
Leads to important classical notion of positive realness.
How did this arise?
Direct applications of positive realness:
 electrical circuit synthesis, covariance generation.
Applications to stability, stabilization, and robustness.

- p.4/26

### **DISSIPATIVE SYSTEMS: Def'n**

### **Dynamics:**

$$\Sigma: \quad \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}), \quad \mathbf{y} = h(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}).$$

 $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U} = \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{R}^{p}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

the input, output, and stat

Behavior  $\mathfrak{B} := \operatorname{all}(u, y, x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{Y} \times \mathbb{X}$  satisfying

$$rac{d}{dt}x=f(x,u),\,\,y=h(x,u).$$

 $\mathfrak{B}_{ ext{external}} \coloneqq \{(u,y) \mid \exists x : (u,y,x) \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ external behavior.

# **Part I: General Theory**

### **DISSIPATIVE SYSTEMS: Def'n**

**Dynamics:** 

$$\Sigma: \quad \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}), \quad \mathbf{y} = h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$$

Let



be a function, called the supply rate.

### **DISSIPATIVE SYSTEMS: Def'n**

$$\Sigma$$
 is said to be  $egin{array}{ccc} \emph{dissipative w.r.t. s} & \emph{if} \end{array}$  if  $\exists$ 

 $V:\mathbb{X}\to\mathbb{R},$ 

called the storage function, such that

 $V(x(t_2)) \leq V(x(t_1)) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} s(u(t),y(t)) \ dt$ 

 $orall t_2 \geq t_1 \;\;$  along trajectories:  $orall \left( u, y, x 
ight) \in \mathfrak{B}.$ 

- p.6/26

### **DISSIPATIVE SYSTEMS: Def'n**

or, incrementally,

 $rac{d}{dt} V(x) \leq s(u,y)$ 

### **DISSIPATIVE SYSTEMS: Def'n**

$$rac{d}{dt} V(x) \leq s(u,y)$$

This  $\leq$  is called the *dissipation inequality*.  $\Leftrightarrow$ 

$$V^{ullet \Sigma}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}) := \nabla V(\mathbf{x}) \cdot f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}) \leq s(\mathbf{u},h(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})) \quad \forall (\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})$$

The function  $d:\mathbb{U} imes\mathbb{X} o\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$d(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{x}) := s(\mathbf{u},h(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})) - \overset{\bullet}{V}{}^{\Sigma}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})$$

is called the *dissipation rate*  $(\geq 0)$ .

If equality holds: conservative system.

### **DISSIPATIVE SYSTEMS: Def'n**

### **DISSIPATIVE SYSTEMS: Def'n**

SUPPLY

 $rac{d}{dt}V(x)\leq s(u,y)$ 

For power and energy

 $egin{array}{lll} s({f u},{f y})&\cong& {f power}\ {f delivered}.\ V({f x})&\cong& {f internal\ stored\ energy}. \end{array}$ 

Dissipativity : $\Leftrightarrow$ 

rate of increase of stored energy  $\leq$  power delivered.



input

$$s(\mathbf{u}, h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})) = V^{\mathbf{\bullet}\Sigma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) + d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) \qquad d \ge 0$$

– p.6/26

### **PHYSICAL EXAMPLES**

**Electrical circuit:** 



Dissipative w.r.t.  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathbb{N}} V_{\ell} I_{\ell}$  (electrical power).

**PHYSICAL EXAMPLES** 

System	Supply	Storage
Electrical	$V^{\top}I$	energy in
circuit	V: voltage	capacitors and
	I : current	inductors

### **PHYSICAL EXAMPLES**

### **PHYSICAL EXAMPLES**





Dissipative w.r.t.  $\Sigma_{\ell=1}^{\mathbb{N}}((\frac{d}{dt}q_{\ell})^{\top}F_{\ell}+(\frac{d}{dt}\theta_{\ell})^{\top}T_{\ell})$ (mechanical power)

– p.7/26

### **PHYSICAL EXAMPLES**

### Thermodynamic system:



System	Supply	Storage
Electrical circuit	$V^{ op}I$ V:voltage I:current	energy in capacitors and inductors
Mechanical system	$F^{ op}v + (rac{d}{dt} heta)^{ op}T$ F : force, $v$ : velocity heta: angle, $T$ : torque	potential + kinetic energy

### **PHYSICAL EXAMPLES**

System	Supply	Storage
Electrical	$V^{ op}I$	energy in
circuit	V : voltage	capacitors and
	I : current	inductors
Mechanical	$F^{ op}v + (rac{d}{dt} heta)^{ op}T$	potential +
system	F: force, $v:$ velocity	kinetic energy
	heta: angle, $T$ : torque	
Thermodynamic	Q+W	internal
system	$oldsymbol{Q}$ : heat, $oldsymbol{W}$ : work	energy
Thermodynamic	-Q/T	entropy
system	$oldsymbol{Q}$ : heat, $\ T$ : temp.	
etc.	etc.	etc.

### **CONSTRUCTION of STORAGE F'NS**

Given (a representation of )  $\Sigma$ , the dynamics, and given s, the supply rate, is the system dissipative w.r.t. s, i.e., does there exist a storage function V such that the dissipation inequality holds?



Monitor dynamics, power flow. How much 'energy' is stored?

- p.8/26

### **CONSTRUCTION of STORAGE F'NS**

Notation:  $(x_1, t_1) \stackrel{u}{\mapsto} (x_2, t_2)$ := *u* takes the state  $x_1$  at time  $t_1$  to state  $x_2$  at time  $t_2$ .

Consider the following two state f'ns, universal storage f'ns:

The available storage:  $V_{\rm available}$ , defined by

$$V_{ ext{available}}( ext{x}) := \displaystyle \operatorname{supremum}_{T \geq 0, (u, y, x) \in \mathfrak{B}: ( ext{x}, 0) \mapsto^u ( ext{x}^*, T)} \left\{ - \int_0^T s(u, y) \, dt 
ight\}$$

*The required supply:*  $V_{
m required}$ , defined by

$$V_{ ext{required}}( ext{x}) := rac{ ext{infimum}}{T \geq 0, (u,y,x) \in \mathfrak{B}: ( ext{x}^*, -T) \mapsto^u ( ext{x}, 0)} \ \{\int_{-T}^0 s(u,y) \ dt\}$$

### **CONSTRUCTION of STORAGE F'NS**

Assume:

- 1. State space  $\mathbb{X}$  of  $\Sigma$  connected: every state reachable from every other state;
- 2. Observability: given u, y,  $\exists$  at most one x such that  $(u, y, x) \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{X}$  be an element of  $\mathbb{X}$ , a 'normalization' point for the storage functions, since these are only defined by an additive constant.

### **CONSTRUCTION of STORAGE F'NS**

Note:

if  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{X}$  is an equilibrium, associated with  $\mathbf{u}^* \in \mathbb{U}, \mathbf{y}^* \in \mathbb{Y}$  :

$$f(\mathrm{x}^*,\mathrm{u}^*)=0,\mathrm{y}^*=h(\mathrm{x}^*,\mathrm{u}^*),$$

and

$$s(\mathbf{x}^*,\mathbf{u}^*)=0,$$

then in def. of  $V_{
m available}$  and  $V_{
m required},$  we can take lim  $T
ightarrow\infty$ 

### **CONSTRUCTION of STORAGE F'NS**



**!! Maximize** the supply extracted, starting in fixed initial state

 $\rightsquigarrow$  available storage.



### **CONSTRUCTION of STORAGE F'NS**

**Basic theorem:** Let  $\Sigma$  and s be given.

Moreover, assuming that any of these conditions are satisfied, then

 $V_{\mathrm{available}}$  and  $V_{\mathrm{required}}$ 

are both storage functions, the set of storage f'ns is convex, and

 $V_{\text{available}} - V_{\text{available}}(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq V - V(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq V_{\text{required}} - V_{\text{required}}(\mathbf{x}^*)$ 

In fact,  $V_{\text{available}}(\mathbf{x}^*) = V_{\text{required}}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0.$ 

### **CONSTRUCTION of STORAGE F'NS**

**Basic theorem:** Let  $\Sigma$  and s be given.

The following are equivalent:

1.  $\Sigma$  is dissipative w.r.t. *s* (i.e.  $\exists$  a storage f'n *V*) 2.

 $\oint s(u,y) \,\,dt \ge 0$ 

for all periodic  $(u,y) \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{external}}$ , equivalently, by observability, for all periodic  $(u,y,x) \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

- 3.  $V_{\text{available}} < \infty$
- 4.  $V_{\text{required}} > -\infty$

### **PROOF** of the BASIC TH'M

### $1. \Rightarrow 2.:$

 $\Sigma$  is dissipative w.r.t.  $s \Rightarrow$ 

 $\oint s(u,y) \,\, dt \geq 0$ 

for all periodic  $(u,y)\in\mathfrak{B}_{ ext{external}}$ :

Use the dissipation inequality (and observability).

- p.8/26

### **PROOF of the BASIC TH'M**

 $2. \Rightarrow 3.:$ 

 $V_{ ext{available}}:\mathbb{X}
ightarrow\mathbb{R}$ 

- (i)  $V_{
  m available}({
  m x}) > -\infty$ : sup over non-empty set by reachability.
- (ii)  $V_{\text{available}}(\mathbf{x}) < \infty$ :

Note that by 2.,  $(u, y, x) \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $(x^*, T_1) \stackrel{u}{\mapsto} (x^*, T_2)$ implies  $\int_{T_1}^{T_2} s(u, y) dt \ge 0$ . Concatenate  $(x^*, -T') \stackrel{u'}{\mapsto} (x, 0)$  with  $(x, 0) \stackrel{u}{\mapsto} (x^*, T)$ . Then

$$-\int_0^T s(u,y)\,dt \leq \int_{-T'}^0 s(u',y')\,dt.$$

Take the supremum over the left hand side.

Note  $V_{\text{available}}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ : the sup then occurs for T = 0.

- p.9/26

### **PROOF of the BASIC TH'M**

 $2. \Rightarrow 4. \Rightarrow 1.:$ 

The proof with  $V_{required}$  as a storage function is analogous.

### **PROOF of the BASIC TH'M**

 $3. \Rightarrow 1.:$ 

 $V_{
m available}$  satisfies the dissipation inequality:

1.00

$$V_{
m available}(x(t_1))$$

$$= \underset{T \geq 0, (u, y, x) \in \mathfrak{B}: (x(t_1), t_1) \stackrel{u}{\mapsto} (\mathbf{x}^*, t_1 + T)}{\operatorname{supremum}} \left\{ -\int_{t_1}^{t_1 + T} s(u, y) \ dt \right\}$$

$$\geq \displaystyle \operatorname{supremum}_{T \geq 0, (u,y,x) \in \mathfrak{B}: (x(t_1),t_1) \stackrel{u}{\mapsto} (\mathrm{x}^*,t_2+T)} \ \{ -\int_{t_1}^{t_2+T} s(u,y) \, dt \}$$

$$egin{aligned} &\geq -\int_{t_1}^{t_2} s(u,y) \, dt \ &+ \sup_{T \geq 0, (u,y,x) \in \mathfrak{B}: (x(t_2),t_2) \stackrel{u}{\mapsto} (\mathrm{x}^*,t_2+T)} \{-\int_{t_2}^{t_2+T} s(u,y) \ &= -\int_{t_1}^{t_2} s(u,y) \, dt + V_{ ext{available}}(x(t_2)). \end{aligned}$$

### **PROOF of the BASIC TH'M**

Convexity of the set of storage functions: obvious.

Bound $V_{\rm available} \leq V - V({\rm x}^*)$ 

Consider a trajectory  $(\mathbf{x},0) \stackrel{u}{\mapsto} (\mathbf{x}^*,T)$ . The dissipation inequaliting implies

$$V(\mathbf{x}) - V(\mathbf{x}^*) \ge -\int_0^T s(u(t), y(t)) dt$$

Take the supremum of the right hand side.

### **PROOF of the BASIC TH'M**

### **Bound** $V_{\text{available}} \leq V - V(\mathbf{x}^*)$

Consider a trajectory  $(\mathbf{x}, 0) \stackrel{u}{\mapsto} (\mathbf{x}^*, T)$ . The dissipation inequality implies

$$V(\mathbf{x}) - V(\mathbf{x}^*) \ge -\int_0^T s(u(t), y(t)) dt$$

Take the supremum of the right hand side.

Bound $V - V(\mathbf{x}^*) \leq V_{\text{required}}$ is proven analogously.

- p.9/26

### **SMOOTHNESS**

To make all arguments rigorous requires certain assumptions.

The behavior  ${\mathfrak B}$  of

 $\Sigma$ :  $\overset{\bullet}{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}), \quad \mathbf{y} = h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}).$ 

must have the property that s(u,y) is locally integrable , i.e.

 $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} s(u(t),y(t)) \ dt < \infty \quad orall \ (u,y,x) \in \mathfrak{B}, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ 

### **SMOOTHNESS**

To make all arguments rigorous requires certain assumptions.

The behavior  ${\mathfrak B}$  of

$$\Sigma: \quad \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathrm{x}} = f(\mathrm{x},\mathrm{u}), \quad \mathrm{y} = h(\mathrm{x},\mathrm{u}).$$

must have the 'state' property, i.e.

 $egin{aligned} (u_1,y_1,x_1), (u_2,y_2,x_2) \in \mathfrak{B}, t \in \mathbb{R}, ext{ and } x(t_1) = x(t_2) \ & \Rightarrow (u_1,y_1,x_1) \wedge_t (u_2,y_2,x_2) \in \mathfrak{A} \end{aligned}$ 

( $\wedge_t$  denotes *concatenation* at *t*).

This can be achieved by assuming that the set of admissible input functions  $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathbb{U}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is closed under concatenation, and the sol'n s of x's consists of abs. cont. f'ns.

### **SMOOTHNESS**

To make all arguments rigorous requires certain assumptions.

The equivalence of the global and local versions of the dissipation inequality

1.  $V(x(t_2)) \leq V(x(t_1)) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} s(u(t), y(t)) dt$   $\forall (u, y, x) \in \mathfrak{B}$ 2.  $\frac{d}{dt} V(x) \leq s(u, y) \quad \forall (u, y, x) \in \mathfrak{B}$ 3.  $\nabla V(x) \cdot f(x, u) \leq s(u, h(x, u)) \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{U}, x \in \mathbb{X}$ also requires certain smoothness on  $\mathfrak{B}, f$  and on V.

Obviously, V must be differentiable. While for a given V one may simply wish to assume this, for  $V_{\rm available}$  and  $V_{\rm required}$ , if needed, this has to be proven.

### **SMOOTHNESS**

To make all arguments rigorous requires certain assumptions.

- 1.  $V(x(t_2)) \leq V(x(t_1)) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} s(u(t), y(t)) dt$  $orall (u, y, x) \in \mathfrak{B}$
- 2.  $rac{d}{dt} V(x) \leq s(u,y) \;\; orall (u,y,x) \in \mathfrak{B}$
- 3.  $abla V(\mathbf{x}) \cdot f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}) \leq s(\mathbf{u},h(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})) \;\; \forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$

Note that assuming 1. for a 'small' behavior (e.g., having  $\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}$ , and/or compact support conditions), deducing from there 3., will yield, by integrating, 1. for a 'large' behavior (e.g. with locally integrable u's, absolutely continuous x's).

### - p.10/26

# **Part II: Linear Quadratic Theory**

### RECAP

▲ system is dissipative :⇔

$$V(x(t_2)) \leq V(x(t_1)) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} s(u,y) \, dt.$$

- Image: Image: many physical examples of dissipative open systems.
- $\blacksquare$   $\exists$  storage function  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$\oint s(u,y) \,\, dt \geq 0$$

for all periodic trajectories.

Universal storage functions:

the available storage, the required supp

### LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

These admit many representations:

1. State space representation:

$$\Sigma: \quad \stackrel{\bullet}{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{y} = C\mathbf{x} + D\mathbf{u}$$

 $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}; A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}, D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}.$ Notation:  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$ . Assume (in Part II & III) controllability and observability. *Behavior* 

$$\mathfrak{B}:=(u,y,x):u\in\mathcal{L}_2^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{m}}),y\in\mathcal{L}_2^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{m}}),x:\mathbb{R} o\mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{n}}$$
 abs. cont

satisfying

 $rac{d}{dt}x(t)=Ax(t)+Bu(t), \ y(t)=Cx(t)+Du(t)$  a.e.

Occasionally (when y is unimportant, we will denote  $(u,x)\in\mathfrak{B}$ ).

### LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

These admit many representations:

2. Transfer function

 $G \in \mathbb{R}(\xi)^{p \times m}$ .

Usual interpretation via exponential or frequency response, or Laplace transform, or differential equation (kernel representation)

$$P(rac{d}{dt})y = Q(rac{d}{dt})u$$

with  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}[\xi], Q \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}[\xi], G = P^{-1}Q$ , a left co-prime factorization, or (image representation)

$$u=D(rac{d}{dt})\ell, \ \ y=N(rac{d}{dt})\ell,$$

with  $D\in \mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{m} imes \mathtt{m}}[\xi], Q\in \mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{m} imes \mathtt{p}}[\xi], G=ND^{-1}$ , a right co-prime fact.

- p.13/26

### LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

These admit many representations:

4. Relations among these representations

$$G(\xi) = D + C(I\xi - A)^{-1}B$$

 $G \in \mathbb{R}(\xi)^{p imes m} =$  the Laplace transform of

$$t\in \mathbb{R}_+\mapsto H_0\delta+H(t)\in \mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{p} imes \mathtt{m}}$$

$$H_0 = D, H(t) = Ce^{At}B$$

### LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

These admit many representations:

### 3. Impulse response

$$egin{aligned} y(t) &= H_0 u(t) + \int_0^t H(t-t') u(t') \ dt' \ dt' \end{aligned}$$

possibly 'completed'.

### **QUADRATIC SUPPLY RATES**

 $s(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{y}) = a$  quadratic form in  $(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{y})$ .

 $\sim$  a q.f. in (u, x) (y often not relevant, and by observability the properties - as periodicity, or  $\mathcal{L}_2$ , of (u, y, x) and (u, x) coincide

$$s(\mathrm{u},\mathrm{x}) = egin{bmatrix} \mathrm{u} \ \mathrm{x} \end{bmatrix}^ op S egin{bmatrix} \mathrm{u} \ \mathrm{x} \end{bmatrix}, \ \ S = egin{bmatrix} R & L \ L^ op & Q \end{bmatrix}, \ \ R = R^ op, Q =$$

with as important special cases

$$s(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{y}) = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 - ||\mathbf{y}||^2,$$
 $\mathtt{m} = \mathtt{p} \hspace{0.2cm} extbf{and} \hspace{0.2cm} s(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}^ op \mathbf{y}.$ 

Relevant in electrical circuits (supply rate: <voltage,current>), mechanics: (supply rate <force,velocity>), scattering repr., etc.

### **LQ THEOREM**

### **LQ THEOREM**

Theorem: Let 
$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} s \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$  be given.

The following are equivalent:

1.  $\Sigma$  is dissipative w.r.t. s.

Theorem: Let 
$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} s \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$  be given

The following are equivalent:

### 2. Behavioral characterizations:

2.1

$$\oint s(u(t),x(t))\,dt\geq 0$$

for all periodic  $(u,x)\in\mathfrak{B}$ 

2.2

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(u(t), x(t)) \, dt \ge 0$$

for all 
$$(u,x) \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$
  
2.3 · · ·  $\forall (u,x) \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n); \mathcal{D} = \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}$  with comp. supp

- p.15/26

### **LQ THEOREM**

Theorem: Let 
$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} s \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$  be given.

The following are equivalent:

3.1  $\Sigma$  is dissipative w.r.t. *s* with a quadratic storage function.

3.2 Linear matrix inequality (LMI):

there exists  $K = K^ op \in \mathbb{R}^{ extsf{n} imes extsf{n}}$  such that

$$egin{bmatrix} A^{ op}K+KA-Q & KB-L^{ op} \ B^{ op}K-L & -R \end{bmatrix} \leq 0$$

### **LQ THEOREM**

Theorem: Let 
$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline \bullet & \bullet \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}^{^{\top}} s \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$  be given

The following are equivalent:

4. Frequency-domain characterization

$$R + L(i\omega I - A)^{-1}B + B^{\top}(-i\omega I - A^{\top})^{-1}L$$
  
 $+B^{\top}(-i\omega I - A^{\top})^{-1}Q(i\omega I - A)^{-1}B \ge 0$ 

for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}, i\omega 
otin \sigma(\mathbf{A})$  $\sigma(\mathbf{\bullet})$  denotes the spectrum, the set of eigenvalues of

5. Characterization in terms of impulse response: ??

### (LMI)

The matrix eq'n:

$$K = K^{\perp}$$

$$egin{array}{ccc} A^{ op}K+KA-Q & KB-L^{ op}\ B^{ op}K-L & -R \end{array} \end{bmatrix} \leq 0$$

has become a (the?) key equation in systems and control theory. Note that this (LMI) states exactly that

$$egin{aligned} &rac{d}{dt}x = Ax + Bu \ &\Rightarrow &rac{d}{dt}x^ op Kx \leq egin{bmatrix} u \ x \end{bmatrix}^ op egin{bmatrix} R & L \ L^ op & Q \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} u \ x \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. that  $\mathbf{x}^{\top} K \mathbf{x}$  is a (quadratic) storage f'n.

(LMI)

The matrix eq'n:

 $K = K^{\top}$ 

$$egin{bmatrix} A^{ op}K+KA-Q & KB-L^{ op}\ B^{ op}K-L & -R \end{bmatrix} \leq 0$$

has become a (the?) key equation in systems and control theory.

If R > 0, then equivalent to Algebraic Riccati inequality (ARIneq)

 $\mathbf{v}$   $\mathbf{v}^{\top}$ 

$$K = K^{\top}$$
$$A^{\top}K + KA - Q + (KB - L^{\top})R^{-1}(B^{\top}K - L) \le 0$$

### (LMI)

The matrix eq'n:

$$K = K^{\top}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{\top}K + KA - Q & KB - L^{\top} \\ B^{\top}K - L & -R \end{bmatrix} \leq 0$$

has become a (the?) key equation in systems and control theory.

Solution set is convex, compact, and attains its infimum  $K_-$  and its supremum  $K_+$ :

# $K_{-} \leq K \leq K_{+}$

 $\mathbf{x}^{\top} K_{-} \mathbf{x}$  = available storage,  $\mathbf{x}^{\top} K_{+} \mathbf{x}$  = required supply.

### (LMI)

If R > 0, then equivalent to Algebraic Riccati inequality (ARIneq)

 $K = K^{\top}$ 

$$A^{ op}K + KA - Q + (KB - L^{ op})R^{-1}(B^{ op}K - L) \leq 0$$

In fact, there exist sol'ns to (ARIneq)

⇔ there exist sol'ns to the Algebraic Riccati equation (AR

$$K = K^{\top}$$
$$A^{\top}K + KA - Q + (KB - L^{\top})R^{-1}(B^{\top}K - L) = 0$$

In particular, the extreme sol'n  $K_-$  and  $K_+$  of (LMI) satisfy (ARE). There exist various other characterizations of  $K_-, K_+$ .

- p.16/26

### **PROOF of LQ TH'M and (LMI)**

We will prove the equivalence of the following 10 statements:

- I.  $\exists V \cdots$  (1, page 15)
- II.  $\exists$  quadratic  $V \cdots$  (3.1, page 15)
- III.  $\oint \ge 0$  for all periodic  $\cdots$  (2.1, page 15)
- IV.  $\int \geq 0$  for all  $\mathcal{L}_2 \cdots$  (2.2, page 15)
- V.  $\int \geq 0$  for all  $\mathcal{L}_2$  of compact support  $\cdots$
- VI.  $\int \geq 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{C}^\infty$  of compact support  $\cdots$  (2.3, page 15)
- VII. Frequency domain condition (4, page 15)
- VIII. (LMI) (3.2, page 15)
- IX. For R>0, solvability of the (ARIneq) (page 16)
- X. For R>0, solvability of the (ARE) (page 16);  $K_-,K_+$  sol'ns.

– p.17/26

### PROOF of LQ TH'M and (LMI)

### $\mathsf{I} \Rightarrow \mathsf{VIII} \quad \mathsf{VIII} \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{IX}$

Include  ${\rm VIII} \Leftrightarrow {\rm IX}$  only in the case R>0.

VIII:  $\exists$  sol'n to the (LMI)  $\Rightarrow$  IX:  $\exists$  sol'n to the (ARIneq)

Schur complement: Let  $M_{11}=M_{11}^ op, M_{22}=M_{22}^ op>0.$  Then

 $egin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \ M_{12}^{ op} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \ \Leftrightarrow \ M_{11} - M_{12} M_{22}^{-1} M_{12}^{ op} \geq 0.$ 

# $I \Rightarrow VIII \qquad I: \exists V \cdots \Rightarrow VIII: \exists sol'n to the (LMI)$

The difficult part is the following proposition, which we take for granted

### **Proposition:** Assume that

 $\underset{T \geq 0, (\mathrm{x}, 0) \stackrel{u}{\mapsto} (0, T)}{\mathrm{supremum}} \ \{ -\int_{0}^{T} s(u, x) \, dt \} < \infty \qquad \forall \, \mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$ 

Then this supremum is a quadratic form in  $\mathbf{x},$  $\mathbf{x}^{ op}K\mathbf{x},$  and  $K=K^{ op}.$ 

It follows from the basic th'm that  $\mathbf{x}^{\top} K \mathbf{x}$  satisfies the dissipation inequality, equivalently, the (LMI).

### PROOF of LQ TH'M and (LMI)

 $\mathsf{I}\Rightarrow\mathsf{VIII}\quad\mathsf{VIII}\Leftrightarrow\mathsf{IX}\quad\mathsf{IX}\Leftrightarrow\mathsf{X}$ 

Include  $\mathbf{IX} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{X}$  only in the case R > 0.

IX:  $\exists$  sol'n to (ARIneq)  $\Leftrightarrow$  X:  $\exists$  sol'n to (ARE),  $K_-, K_+$  sol'ns

 $\Rightarrow$  is trivial. To show  $\Rightarrow,$  use the following propostion, a clever idea due to C. Scherer.

Proposition: Assume  $F = F^{\top} \ge 0, H = H^{\top}$ , and (A, F) controllable. Then if the ARineq  $X = X^{\top}, \ A^{\top}X + XA + XFX + H \le 0$  has a sol'n, so does the (ARE)

$$\begin{split} Y &= Y^{\top}, A^{\top}Y + YA + YFY + H = 0 \\ \text{Proof: Define } P &:= -(A^{\top}X + XA + XFX + H) \text{ and consider the (ARE)} \\ D &= D^{\top}, \ (A + FX)^{\top}D + D(A + FX) + DFD - P = 0. \end{split}$$

This is a 'standard' (in the sense that  $F \ge 0, P \ge 0, (A, F)$  contr.) (ARE) of the theory of LQ optimal control. We assume that it is known that a sol'n D exists. Now prove by a straightforward calculation that Y = X + D solves the (ARE). Now, there even exist a sol'ns  $D \ge 0$  and  $\le 0$ . Hence the infimal and supremal sol's of (LMI) and (ARIeq) solve (ARE).

### PROOF of LQ TH'M and (LMI)

### **PROOF of LQ TH'M and (LMI)**



Trivial.

$I \Rightarrow VIII$	$VIII\Leftrightarrow$	IX IX ¢	⇒ X VI	$I \Leftrightarrow II \Rightarrow I$	I⇔III
<mark>1: 3</mark>	$V\cdots$	⇒ <mark>III:∮</mark>	$\ge 0$ for	all periodic	•••

Basic theorem of dissipative systems.



Use your frequency domain intelligence.

Consider the (complex) periodic inputs  $u(t) = ae^{i\omega t}$ . For all  $\omega \in \mathbb{R} : i\omega \notin \sigma(A)$ , there is an associated periodic  $x(t) = be^{i\omega t}$  with  $b = (i\omega I - A)^{-1}Ba$ . Calculate  $\oint$  and obtain the frequency condition.

### PROOF of LQ TH'M and (LMI)

$I \Rightarrow VIII$	$VIII \Leftrightarrow IX$	$\mathbf{IX} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{X}$	$VIII \Leftrightarrow II \Rightarrow I$	
$III \Rightarrow VII$	$\rm VII \Rightarrow \rm IV$			
VII: Frequ	<mark>ency condit</mark>	$ion \Rightarrow IV$	$1 \leq 1 \leq 0$ for all $\lambda$	C <sub>2</sub> ····

Assume that  $(u, x) \in \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathcal{L}_2$ . Use Parseval's theorem to compute  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(u(t), x(t)) dt$ .

– p.17/26

### PROOF of LQ TH'M and (LMI)

$I \Rightarrow VIII$	$VIII \Leftrightarrow IX$	$IX\LeftrightarrowX$	VIII ⇔ I	$  \Rightarrow  $	$I\LeftrightarrowIII$	
$III \Rightarrow VII$	$\rm VII \Rightarrow \rm IV$	$IV \Rightarrow V =$	≻ VI			
IV: $\int \ge 0$	$\forall \mathcal{L}_2 \Rightarrow$	V: ∀ c. su	<mark>pp.</mark> ⇒	VI: ∀	$\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}$ c.	supp

Trivial.

### **PROOF of LQ TH'M and (LMI)**

$I\RightarrowVIII$	$VIII \Leftrightarrow IX$	$IX\LeftrightarrowX$	VIII ¢	$\Rightarrow$ II $\Rightarrow$ I	
$\mathrm{III} \Rightarrow \mathrm{VII}$	$\rm VII \Rightarrow \rm IV$	$IV \Rightarrow V$	$\Rightarrow$ VI	$\text{VI} \Rightarrow \text{III}$	
	N 400		C >	0.4	
VI: J ≥ C	$\forall \forall \mathfrak{C}^{\infty} c. s$	<mark>upp.</mark> ⇒	III:∮ <u>≥</u>	O for all p	periodic • • •

Assume the contrary, truncate this periodic sol'n after a large number of periods, make the truncation into a compact support sol'n, and smooth (e.g. by convoluting with a  $\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}$  compact supporkernel) in order to obtain a compact support  $\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}$  solution that violates VI.

– p.17/26

### PROOF of LQ TH'M and (LMI)

$I \Rightarrow VIII$	$VIII \Leftrightarrow IX$	$IX\LeftrightarrowX$	VIII ←	$\Rightarrow II \Rightarrow I$	I⇔III
$III \Rightarrow VII$	$VII \Rightarrow IV$	$IV \Rightarrow V =$	⇒ VI	$VI \Rightarrow II$	1

That the set of sol's of the (LMI) (and hence of the (ARIneq) for R>0) is convex and compact is trivial. The inequality

 $K_{-} \leq K \leq K_{+}$ 

follows immediately from the interpretation of  $K_-$  and  $K_+$  in terms of the available storage and the required supply.

### RECAP

- A linear differential system with a quadratic supply rate is dissipative ⇔ there exists a quadratic storage function.
- **J** Leads *linea recta* to the (LMI).
- The set of sol'ns of this (LMI) is convex, compact, and attains its infimum  $K_{-}$  and its supremum  $K_{+}$ .
- These correspond to the available storage and required supplementation
- The (LMI) is very closely related to algebraic Riccati inequality and the algebraic Riccati equation. The extreme sol'ns  $K_-, K_+$  of the (LMI) are sol'ns of the (ARE) (when R > 0).
- There is also an explicit condition for dissipativity in terms of the frequency response.

# Part III: Contractivity, Passivity

We start with a bit of notation and recalling a couple of definitions:

**CONTRACTIVITY** 

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbb{C}_{-} &:= & \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Real}(s) < 0\} \\ \mathbb{C}_{+} &:= & \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Real}(s) > 0\} \\ \mathbb{C}_{0-} &:= & \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Real}(s) \leq 0\} \\ \mathbb{C}_{0+} &:= & \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Real}(s) \geq 0\} \end{array}$ 

= complex conjugate.

### **NON-NEGATIVE STORAGE F'NS**

Do storage functions need be  $\geq 0$ ? Since one can always add a constant, one should really ask: Are storage functions bounded from below?

We did NOT demand this. The reason is physics: in mechanics (e.g. a mass in an inverse square gravitational field), the energy need not be bounded from below, in thermodynamics, the entropy (often the log of the temp.) need not be bounded from above or below.

Nevertheless, in applications (stability, circuit synthesis)  $\geq$  of the storage f'n is essential. We will cover the LQ cases

 $s({f u},{f y})=||{f u}||^2-||{f y}||^2$ 

(contractivity)

 $s(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}^{ op}\mathbf{y}$ 

(positive realness)

### CONTRACTIVITY

We start with a bit of notation and recalling a couple of definitions

 $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n}$  is Hurwitz : $\Leftrightarrow \sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{C}_{-}$ .

Equivalently, of course, all trajectories of  $\overset{ullet}{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x}$  go to zero as  $t o \infty$ .

 $A \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{n} imes \mathrm{n}}$  is almost Hurwitz : $\Leftrightarrow$ 

1.  $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{C}_{0-}$ ,

2. the eigenvalues on the imaginary axis are semi-simple.

Equivalently, of course, all trajectories of  $\overset{ullet}{\mathbf{x}} = A\mathbf{x}$  are bounded on  $[0,\infty)$ .

– p.19/26

### CONTRACTIVITY

### CONTRACTIVITY

We start with a bit of notation and recalling a couple of definitions:

Let  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}(\xi)$ . Its  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ -norm equals

 $||G||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} :=$ supremum  $\{||G(s)|| \mid s \in \mathbb{C}_+\}.$ 

$$\begin{split} ||G||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} &< \infty \Leftrightarrow G \text{ proper, no poles in } \mathbb{C}_{0+} \text{ (} \Leftrightarrow A \text{ Hurwitz).} \\ \\ \text{Then} & ||G||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} = & \text{supremum} \quad \{ ||G(i\omega)|| \mid \omega \in \mathbb{R} \}. \end{split}$$

 $||G||_{\mathcal{H}_\infty}$  equals the  $\mathcal{L}_2$  induced norm of the operator  $u\mapsto y,$ 

$$y(t)=H_0u(t)+\int_0^t H(t-t')u(t')\ dt'.$$

Call G contractive : $\Leftrightarrow ||G||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} \leq 1$ .

- p.21/26

### CONTRACTIVITY

- Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 ||\mathbf{y}||^2$ . The following are equivalent:
  - 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is **bounded from below**, or, equivalently, **non-negative**.
  - 2. The (LMI)

has a solution. Equivalently, the supremal sol'n  $\displaystyle rac{K_+>0}{K_+}$  .

Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 - ||\mathbf{y}||^2$ . The following are equivalent:

1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is bounded from below or, equivalently, non-negative.

### CONTRACTIVITY

- Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 ||\mathbf{y}||^2$ . The following are equivalent:
- 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is bounded from below or, equivalently, non-negative.
- 3. Behavioral characterization:

 $\int_{-\infty}^{0} (||u(t)||^2 - ||y(t)||^2) \, dt \ge 0$ 

for all  $(u,y)\in\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{ext}}\cap\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{m}} imes\mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{p}}).$ 

This is called half-line dissipativity .

Note: upper bound 0 on  $\int$  immaterial, may as well take  $\int_{-\infty}^{t}$ 

### CONTRACTIVITY

### CONTRACTIVITY

- Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 ||\mathbf{y}||^2$ . The following are equivalent:
  - 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is **bounded from below**, or, equivalently, **non-negative**.
  - 4. Frequency-domain characterization: *G* is contractive, i.e.  $||G||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} \leq 1$ .
  - 5.  $\Sigma$  is dissipative w.r.t. s, and A is Hurwitz.

Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 - ||\mathbf{y}||^2$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is bounded from below or, equivalently, non-negative.
- 1'.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. s, with all storage f'n bounded from below.

- p.21/26

### CONTRACTIVITY

- Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 ||\mathbf{y}||^2$ . The following are equivalent:
  - 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is **bounded from below**, or, equivalently, **non-negative**.
- 2'. All solutions of the (LMI)

 $K = K^{\top}$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{\top}K + KA + C^{\top}C & KB + C^{\top}D \\ B^{\top}K + D^{\top}C & -I + D^{\top}D \end{bmatrix} \leq 0$$

are > 0. Equivalently, the infimal sol'n  $K_{-} > 0$ .

### CONTRACTIVITY

- Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 ||\mathbf{y}||^2$ . The following are equivalent:
- 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is bounded from below or, equivalently, non-negative.
- 6.,7.,... Various variations, with  $\geq 0$  instead of > 0,  $\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}$ , compact support, ARineq, (ARE), etc.

### **PROOF of CONTRACTIVITY TH'M**

### **PROOF of CONTRACTIVITY TH'M**

Preliminary: The inertia of  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n} imes \mathbf{n}}$  is defined as the triple

 $\mathrm{In}(M):=(
u(M),\zeta(M),\pi(M))$ 

with  $\nu(M), \zeta(M), \pi(M) =$  the number (counting multiplicity) of eigenvalues of M with respectively real part > 0, = 0, < 0. Of course  $\nu(M) + \zeta(M) + \pi(M) =$  n. Btw,  $\pi(M) - \nu(M)$  is called the signature of M.

Recall the following result involving the inertia and the Lyapunov equation

 $A^{\top}P + PA + Q = 0.$ 

Theorem: Assume that (A, P, Q) satisfy the Lyapunov eq'n, with  $P = P^{\top}, Q = Q^{\top} \ge 0$ , and (A, Q) is observable. Then  $\zeta(A) = 0$ , and  $\operatorname{In}(P) = \operatorname{In}(A)$ .

### **PROOF of CONTRACTIVITY TH'M**

### $1. \Rightarrow 2.$

2

By the basic th'm on dissipativity, there holds for any storage function V,

$$\mathbf{x}^{ op} K_{-} \mathbf{x} - \leq V(\mathbf{x}) - V(0) \leq \mathbf{x}^{ op} K_{+} \mathbf{x}$$

Hence V bounded from below implies  $x^{\top}K_+x$  bounded from below. Since  $K_+$  is non-singular, it is bounded from below if and only if  $K_+ \ge 0$ , but since it also non-singular,  $K_+ > 0$ .

Note that each of the conditions of the th'm implies that  $\left\lfloor \begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right\rfloor$  is dissipative w.r.t.  $s(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{y}) = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 - ||\mathbf{y}||^2$ . Therefore, we can freely use the LQ th'm, and assume the existence of  $K_-, K_+$ , etc

Each sol'n  $K = K^{\top}$  of the (LMI) satisfies

 $A^\top K + KA + C^\top C \le 0.$ 

Since (A, C) is observable, so is  $(A, A^{\top}K + KA)$ .

The inertia theorem therefore implies that all these K's are non-singular and have the same number of positive and negative eigenvalues.

### **PROOF of CONTRACTIVITY TH'M**

### $1. \Rightarrow 2.$

**2.**  $\Rightarrow$  **3.** By 2.,  $K_+ > 0$ . By the inertia th'm, therefore, A is Hurwitz. Assume that 3. does not hold. Then there is  $(u', y') \in \mathfrak{B}_{\text{ext}} \cap \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p)$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 (||u'(t)||^2 - ||y'(t)||^2) \, dt < 0.$$

Consider the input u'', with u''(t) = u'(t) for  $t \leq 0$ , and u''(t) = 0 for t > 0. Since A is Hurwitz, the resulting y'' with y''(t) = y'(t) for  $t \leq 0$  yields  $(u'', y'') \in \mathfrak{B}_{ext} \cap \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p)$ , and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (||u''(t)||^2 - ||y''(t)||^2) \, dt < 0.$$

Contradicts dissipativeness.

- n.22/26

$$1. \Rightarrow 2.$$
 $2. \Rightarrow 3.$  $3. \Rightarrow 4.$  $3.$  implies dissipativeness. Therefore

supremum  $\{||G(i\omega)|| \mid \omega \in \mathbb{R}\} < 1.$ 

We need to prove that 3. implies that G has no poles  $\mathbb{C}_{0+}$  , i.e., that A is Hurwitz. If this were not the case, choose a (compact support) input that is zero for  $t\geq 0,$  and such that x(0) yields a y with  $\int_0^\infty ||y(t)||^2 = \infty$ . Hence for T sufficiently large

$$\int_{-\infty}^T (||u(t)||^2 - ||y(t)||^2) \, dt < 0.$$

**Contradicts 3.** 

– p.22/26

### **PROOF of CONTRACTIVITY TH'M**

1.	$\Rightarrow$	2.
~		~

- 2. ⇒ 3.
- $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{3.} \Rightarrow \textbf{4.} \\ \textbf{4.} \Rightarrow \textbf{5.} \end{array}$
- **5**. ⇒ **1**.
- By dissipativeness there exist sol'ns  $K = K^{\top}$  to the (LMI). By the inertia theorem they are all > 0. 1. follows.



### **PROOF of CONTRACTIVITY TH'M**

$\Rightarrow$ 2.	
$2. \Rightarrow 3.$	
$3. \Rightarrow 4.$	
$A \Rightarrow 5.$	
<b>5.</b> ⇒ 1.	
$n \rightarrow 2'$	

use the inertia theorem.

1. $\Rightarrow$ 2.	
$2. \Rightarrow 3.$	
$3. \Rightarrow 4.$	
$4. \Rightarrow 5.$	
5. $\Rightarrow$ 1.	
$2. \Rightarrow \mathbf{2'.}$	
$2' \Rightarrow 1'$ .	

follows from

$$\mathbf{x}^{\top} K_{-} \mathbf{x} - \leq V(\mathbf{x}) - V(0) \leq \mathbf{x}^{\top} K_{+} \mathbf{x}$$

and K - > 0.

### **PROOF of CONTRACTIVITY TH'M**

 $1. \Rightarrow 2.$   $2. \Rightarrow 3.$   $3. \Rightarrow 4.$   $4. \Rightarrow 5.$   $5. \Rightarrow 1.$   $2. \Rightarrow 2'.$  $2'. \Rightarrow 1'.$ 

1'.  $\Rightarrow$  1.

$1. \Rightarrow 2.$
$2. \Rightarrow 3.$
$3. \Rightarrow 4.$
$\textbf{4.}\Rightarrow\textbf{5.}$
5. $\Rightarrow$ 1.
$2. \Rightarrow \mathbf{2'.}$
<b>2</b> '. $\Rightarrow$ <b>1</b> '.
1'. $\Rightarrow$ 1.

trivial.

**POSITIVE REALNESS** 

A VERY important notion in system theory:

 $g \in \mathbb{R}(\xi)$  is positive real (p.r.): $\Leftrightarrow$ 

 $\{s \in \mathbb{C}_+\} \ \Rightarrow \ \{g(s) \in \mathbb{C}_+\}.$ 

 $\exists$  numerous equivalent conditions for positive realness. E.g.:

 $\{s\in\mathbb{C}_{0+},\ s\ ext{ not a pole of }g\}\ \Rightarrow\ \{g(s)\in\mathbb{C}_{0+}\}$  and, more intricate,

- 1.  $\operatorname{Real}(g(i\omega)) \geq 0$  for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$
- 2. g has no poles in  $\mathbb{C}_+$
- 3. the im. axis poles of g are simple, with residue real and > 0g(s)

4. 
$$\frac{g(s)}{s}$$
 is proper, and its limit for  $s \to \infty$  is  $\ge 0$ 

– p.22/26

### **POSITIVE REALNESS**

Matrix case:

 $G \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{m} imes \mathtt{m}}(\xi)$  is positive real (p.r.): $\Leftrightarrow$ 

# $\{s\in\mathbb{C}_+\} \ \Rightarrow \ \{G(s)+G^\top(\bar{s})\geq 0\}.$

 $G^{\top}(\bar{s})$  is the Hermitian conjugate of G(s).

### **POSITIVE REALNESS**

Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$ . The following are equivalent:

1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is bounded from below or, equivalently, non-negative.

- p.23/26

### **POSITIVE REALNESS**

- Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$ . The following are equivalent:
  - 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is **bounded from below**, or, equivalently, **non-negative**.
  - 2. The (LMI) (note: the corresponding storage f'n is  $\frac{1}{2}x^{\top}Kx$ )

 $K = K^{\top} > 0$  $egin{bmatrix} A^{ op}K + KA & KB - C^{ op} \ B^{ op}K - C & -D - D^{ op} \end{bmatrix} \leq 0$ 

has a solution. Equivalently, the supremal sol'n  $\displaystyle rac{K_+>0}{K_+}$  .

### - p.23/26

### **POSITIVE REALNESS**

- Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$ . The following are equivalent:
- 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is bounded from below or, equivalently, non-negative.
- 3. Behavioral characterization:

 $\int_{-\infty}^{0} u(t)^{ op} y(t) \ dt \geq 0$ 

for all  $(u,y)\in\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{ext}}\cap\mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{m}} imes\mathbb{R}^{\mathtt{p}}).$ 

This is called half-line dissipativity

### **POSITIVE REALNESS**

### **POSITIVE REALNESS**

- Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$ . The following are equivalent:
  - 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is **bounded from below**, or, equivalently, **non-negative**.
  - 4. Frequency-domain characterization: *G* is positive real.
  - 5.  $\Sigma$  is dissipative w.r.t. *s*, and *A* is almost Hurwitz.

Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$ . The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is bounded from below or, equivalently, non-negative.
- 1'.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. s, with all storage f'n bounded from below.

- p.23/26

### **POSITIVE REALNESS**

- Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$ . The following are equivalent:
- 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is **bounded from below**, or, equivalently, **non-negative**.
- 2'. All solutions of the (LMI)

$$K = K^{\top}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{\top}K + KA & KB - C^{\top} \\ B^{\top}K - C & -D - D^{\top} \end{bmatrix} \leq 0$$
are > 0. Equivalently, the infimal sol'n  $K_{-} > 0$ .

### **POSITIVE REALNESS**

- Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$ . The following are equivalent:
- 1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is bounded from below or, equivalently, non-negative.
- 6.,7.,... Various variations, with  $\geq 0$  instead of > 0,  $\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}$ , compact support, ARineq, (ARE), etc.

### **POSITIVE REALNESS**

### **POSITIVE REALNESS**

Theorem: Consider  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , controllable & observable, and  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$ . The following are equivalent:

1.  $\Sigma$  diss. w.r.t. *s*, with a storage f'n that is **bounded from below**, or, equivalently, **non-negative**.

It is customary to refer to this case as **PASSIVITY**.

In the special case D=0 (G strictly proper), the (LMI) become

$$egin{array}{rcl} K=K^{ op}&>&0,\ A^{ op}K+KA&\leq&0,\ KB&=&C^{ op}. \end{array}$$

The fact that solvability of this (LMI) is equivalent to positive realness of  $G(\xi) = C(I\xi - A^{-1})B$  is usually called the KYP-lemma (after Kalman, Yakubovich, Popov).

- p.23/26

### **POSITIVE REALNESS**

In this case, it is possible to express passivity as a 'sort of' condition on the impulse response:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{H(0) + H(0)^{\top}}{2} & H(t_2 - t_1) & H(t_3 - t_1) & \cdots & H(t_k - t_1) \\ H^{\top}(t_2 - t_1) & \frac{H(0) + H(0)^{\top}}{2} & H(t_3 - t_2) & \cdots & H(t_k - t_2) \\ H^{\top}(t_3 - t_1) & H^{\top}(t_3 - t_2) & \frac{H(0) + H(0)^{\top}}{2} & \cdots & H(t_k - t_3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ H^{\top}(t_k - t_1) & H(t_k - t_2)^{\top} & H^{\top}(t_k - t_3) & \cdots & \frac{H(0) + H(0)^{\top}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$

for all 
$$0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_k$$
 and all  $\mathtt{k} \in \mathbb{N}.$ 

The proof will not be given.

### **PROOF** of the P.R. TH'M

We give the proof only in the case D = 0. It makes some points independent interest, namely that the choice of inputs and output in a system is not something that is 'fixed'. The system eq'ns are

$$\Sigma: \mathbf{x}^{\bullet} = A\mathbf{x} + B\mathbf{u}, \ \mathbf{y} = C\mathbf{x} \quad \rightsquigarrow \text{ behavior } \mathfrak{B}.$$

With the new input and output

$$u' = rac{1}{2}(u+y), \;\; y' = rac{1}{2}(u-y),$$

the system equations become

$$\Sigma': \mathbf{x} = (A - BC)\mathbf{x} + 2B\mathbf{u}', \ \mathbf{y}' = -C\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}' \ \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{b}$$

Obviously  $(u,y,x)\in\mathfrak{B}\Leftrightarrow (rac{1}{2}(u+y),rac{1}{2}(u-y),x)\in\mathfrak{B}'.$ 

### **PROOF** of the P.R. TH'M

### **PROOF** of the P.R. TH'M

Define  $s'(u', y') = ||u'||^2 - ||y'||^2$ . Note that  $s'(u', y') = u^{\top}y = s(u, y)$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Hence } \Sigma \mbox{ is dissipative w.r.t. } s \mbox{ with storage function } V \\ \Leftrightarrow \Sigma' \mbox{ is dissipative w.r.t. } s' \mbox{ with storage function } V. \end{array}$ 

Conclude that

1. of the contractivity th'm  $\Leftrightarrow$  1. of the p.r. th'm.

1'. of the contractivity th'm  $\Leftrightarrow$  1'. of the p.r. th'm.

2. of the contractivity th'm  $\Leftrightarrow$  2. of the p.r. th'm.

2'. of the contractivity th'm  $\Leftrightarrow$  2'. of the p.r. th'm. and from the relation between s and s'

3. of the contractivity th'm  $\Leftrightarrow$  3. of the p.r. th'm.

Note that the transfer functions G' of  $\Sigma'$  and G of  $\Sigma$  are related to the fractional transformation

$$G' = (I - G)(I + G)^{-1}.$$

Now, for  $M \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{n} imes \mathrm{n}}$  , there holds

 $M+M^{\star} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow I+M$  invertible and  $||(I-M)(I+M)^{-1}||$ 

**Conclude that** 

 $||G'||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow G$  positive real.

Hence, 4. of the contractivity th'm  $\Leftrightarrow$  4. of the p.r. th'm.

– p.25/26

### **PROOF of the P.R. TH'M**

We still need to prove that 2.  $\Leftrightarrow$  5. This uses the following

Lemma: Assume

$$K = K^{\top}, A^{\top}K + KA \leq 0$$
, and  $KB = C^{\top}$ .

Then

$$A$$
 is almost Hurwitz  $\Leftrightarrow K > 0$ 

Assume that 5. holds. Then, by dissipativeness, the (LMI) has a sol'n  $K = K^{\top}$ . By the lemma,  $K = K^{\top} > 0$ , whence 2. holds. Conversely, if 2. holds, then, by the lemma, A is almost Hurwitz.

### **PROOF** of the P.R. TH'M

We still need to prove that 2.  $\Leftrightarrow$  5. This uses the following

Lemma: Assume

$$K = K^{ op}, A^{ op}K + KA \leq 0, ext{ and } KB = C^{ op}.$$

Then

A is almost Hurwitz  $\Leftrightarrow K > 0$ .

Assume that 5. holds. Then, by dissipativeness, the (LMI) has a sol'n  $K = K^{\top}$ . By the lemma,  $K = K^{\top} > 0$ , whence 2. holds Conversely, if 2. holds, then, by the lemma, A is almost Hurwitz.

I wasn't able to construct a proof of  $\Rightarrow$  of the lemma in time.

### RECAP

- Non-negativity of the storage function is important in the analysis of physical systems, and in stability applications.
- For  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 ||\mathbf{y}||^2$ , positivity of the (all) storage function comes down to the condition  $||G||_{\mathcal{H}_{\infty}} \leq 1$ .
- For  $s(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{y}$ , positivity of the (all) storage function comes down to positive realness of G.
- Recently, a n.a.s.c. for the existence of a postive storage function in the general LQ case has been published by Trentelman and Rapisarda (SIAM J. Control & Opt., 2003?).

– p.26/26