## Lecture 6 <br> Estimation

- Outline and Motivations
- Prior readings
- Gaussian random vectors
- minimum mean-square estimation (MMSE)
- MMSE with linear measurements
- relation to least-squares, pseudo-inverse


## Outline and Motivations

The abstract statement of the problem that we want to solve is:

Given a model of a system $y=f(x)$ and some measurements of $y$ corrupted by noise, determine a good estimate of $x$.

This problem covers a huge number of engineering applications,e.g.:

- System identification: determine de values of system parameters (masses, spring constants, resistances, volumes) from elementary measurements on the system (positions, speeds, currents, voltages).
- State estimation: determine internal state of system (position, speed, voltages, temperature) from external measurements (GPS signals, surface temperatures, terminal voltages and currents)
- Time series forecasting: given past measurements determine likely future values

The general approach developed in this course comprizes three steps:

- Model the quantities of interest as random variables $x, y$
- Determine joint probability distribution $p(x, y)$ from prior knowledge aubout the problem
- Use mathematics to construct an algorithm to compute $p(x \mid y)$ and extract estimate $\hat{x}(y)$ from it.

The main assumptions that we will make:

- Physical relationships among quantities of interest can be approximated by linear equations
- Prior uncertainties and measurement errors can be approximated by Gaussian distributions

These assumptions are often acceptable and make life much simpler.

## Prior (and complementary) readings

To prepare the coming courses, you absolutely need to read the following material (see web-site):

- Section B. 9 (and review of B.5,B.6, B.8) of 'Appendices communs...'
- The Humble Gaussian Distribution, David J.C. MacKay

Some explanations on this material will however be given during this and the subsequent lectures and repetitions.

## Gaussian random variable (short reminder)

- Notion of real-valued random variable (rvrv): $P(x<v)=F_{x}(v)$.
- Notion of continuous rvrv (crv): $p_{x}(v)=\left.\frac{\partial F_{x}(x)}{\partial x}\right|_{x=v}$.
- We use the term probability density function (pdf) of a crv for $p_{x}(\cdot)$.
- $x$ is Gaussian (i.e. "normally distributed"), denoted by $x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\bar{x}, \sigma^{2}\right)$, if
$-p_{x}(v)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{(v-\bar{x})^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)$, where
$-\bar{x}=\mathbf{E} x=\int v p_{x}(v) d v$ is the mean
- $\sigma^{2}=\mathbf{E}(x-\bar{x})^{2}=\int(v-\bar{x})^{2} p_{x}(v) d v$ is the variance
- Properties:
- Many practical applications: central limit theorem..., preservation of "normality" by linear (affine) transformations...
- Characterization of pdf by the first 2 moments only...


## Gaussian random processes

By definition, a (countable) collection $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of real-valued random variables is a Gaussian process, if any linear combination of a (finite) subset of these variables has a normal distribution (or is a constant).

Implications (the first three are "trivial"):

- $x_{i} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{i}^{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{i}^{2}}\right)$ where $\bar{x}_{i}=\mathbf{E} x_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}^{2}=\mathbf{E}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2}$;
- any (finite) affine combination $a_{0}+a_{1} x_{i_{1}}+\ldots+a_{n} x_{i_{n}}$ has a normal distribution (or is a constant);
- if $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ are (finite) affine combinations over a Gaussian process $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, then $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots\right\} \cup\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is also a Gaussian process;
- a Gaussian process $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is entirely characterized by the numbers $\bar{x}_{i}=\mathbf{E} x_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i j}=\mathbf{E}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-\bar{x}_{j}\right)$.


## Gaussian random vectors

random vector $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is Gaussian if it has density

$$
p_{x}(v)=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2}(\operatorname{det} \Sigma)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(v-\bar{x})^{T} \Sigma^{-1}(v-\bar{x})\right)
$$

for some $\Sigma=\Sigma^{T}>0, \bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$

- denoted $x \sim \mathcal{N}(\bar{x}, \Sigma)$
- $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is the mean or expected value of $x$, i.e.,

$$
\bar{x}=\mathbf{E} x=\int v p_{x}(v) d v
$$

- $\Sigma=\Sigma^{T}>0$ is the covariance matrix of $x$, i.e.,

$$
\Sigma=\mathbf{E}(x-\bar{x})(x-\bar{x})^{T}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathbf{E} x x^{T}-\bar{x} \bar{x}^{T} \\
& =\int(v-\bar{x})(v-\bar{x})^{T} p_{x}(v) d v
\end{aligned}
$$

density for $x \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ :


- mean and variance of scalar random variable $x_{i}$ are

$$
\mathbf{E} x_{i}=\bar{x}_{i}, \quad \mathbf{E}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2}=\Sigma_{i i}
$$

hence standard deviation of $x_{i}$ is $\sqrt{\Sigma_{i i}}$

- covariance between $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ is $\mathbf{E}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-\bar{x}_{j}\right)=\Sigma_{i j}$
- correlation coefficient between $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ is $\rho_{i j}=\frac{\Sigma_{i j}}{\sqrt{\Sigma_{i i} \Sigma_{j j}}}$
- mean (norm) square deviation of $x$ from $\bar{x}$ is

$$
\mathbf{E}\|x-\bar{x}\|^{2}=\mathbf{E} \operatorname{Tr}(x-\bar{x})(x-\bar{x})^{T}=\operatorname{Tr} \Sigma=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Sigma_{i i}
$$

(using $\operatorname{Tr} A B=\operatorname{Tr} B A$ )
example: $x \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ means $x_{i}$ are independent identically distributed (IID) $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ random variables

## Confidence ellipsoids

$p_{x}(v)$ is constant for $(v-\bar{x})^{T} \Sigma^{-1}(v-\bar{x})=\alpha$, i.e., on the surface of ellipsoid

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}=\left\{v \mid(v-\bar{x})^{T} \Sigma^{-1}(v-\bar{x}) \leq \alpha\right\}
$$

thus $\bar{x}$ and $\Sigma$ determine shape of density
can interpret $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}$ as confidence ellipsoid for $x$ :
the nonnegative random variable $(x-\bar{x})^{T} \Sigma^{-1}(x-\bar{x})$ has a $\chi_{n}^{2}$ distribution, so $\operatorname{Prob}\left(x \in \mathcal{E}_{\alpha}\right)=F_{\chi_{n}^{2}}(\alpha)$ where $F_{\chi_{n}^{2}}$ is the CDF some good approximations:

- $\mathcal{E}_{n}$ gives about $50 \%$ probability
- $\mathcal{E}_{n+2 \sqrt{n}}$ gives about $90 \%$ probability
geometrically:
- mean $\bar{x}$ gives center of ellipsoid
- semiaxes are $\sqrt{\alpha \lambda_{i}} u_{i}$, where $u_{i}$ are (orthonormal) eigenvectors of $\Sigma$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$
example: $x \sim \mathcal{N}(\bar{x}, \Sigma)$ with $\bar{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 1\end{array}\right], \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
- $x_{1}$ has mean 2 , std. dev. $\sqrt{2}$
- $x_{2}$ has mean 1 , std. dev. 1
- correlation coefficient between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ is $\rho=1 / \sqrt{2}$
- $\mathbf{E}\|x-\bar{x}\|^{2}=3$
$90 \%$ confidence ellipsoid corresponds to $\alpha=4.6$ :

(here, 91 out of 100 fall in $\mathcal{E}_{4.6}$ )


## Affine transformation

suppose $x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\bar{x}, \Sigma_{x}\right)$
consider affine transformation of $x$ :

$$
z=A x+b
$$

where $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$
then $z$ is Gaussian, with mean

$$
\mathbf{E} z=\mathbf{E}(A x+b)=A \mathbf{E} x+b=A \bar{x}+b
$$

and covariance

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{z} & =\mathbf{E}(z-\bar{z})(z-\bar{z})^{T} \\
& =\mathbf{E} A(x-\bar{x})(x-\bar{x})^{T} A^{T} \\
& =A \Sigma_{x} A^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

## examples:

- if $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ then $x=\Sigma^{1 / 2} w+\bar{x}$ is $\mathcal{N}(\bar{x}, \Sigma)$
useful for simulating vectors with given mean and covariance
- conversely, if $x \sim \mathcal{N}(\bar{x}, \Sigma)$ then $z=\Sigma^{-1 / 2}(x-\bar{x})$ is $\mathcal{N}(0, I)$ (normalizes \& decorrelates)
suppose $x \sim \mathcal{N}(\bar{x}, \Sigma)$ and $c \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$
scalar $c^{T} x$ has mean $c^{T} \bar{x}$ and variance $c^{T} \Sigma c$
thus (unit length) direction of minimum variability for $x$ is $u$, where

$$
\Sigma u=\lambda_{\min } u, \quad\|u\|=1
$$

standard deviation of $u_{n}^{T} x$ is $\sqrt{\lambda_{\text {min }}}$
(similarly for maximum variability)

## Degenerate Gaussian vectors

it is convenient to allow $\Sigma$ to be singular (but still $\Sigma=\Sigma^{T} \geq 0$ )
(in this case density formula obviously does not hold)
meaning: in some directions $x$ is not random at all
write $\Sigma$ as

$$
\Sigma=\left[Q_{+} Q_{0}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{+} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[Q_{+} Q_{0}\right]^{T}
$$

where $Q=\left[Q_{+} Q_{0}\right]$ is orthogonal, $\Sigma_{+}>0$

- columns of $Q_{0}$ are orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$
- columns of $Q_{+}$are orthonormal basis for range $(\Sigma)$
then $Q^{T} x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}z^{T} & w^{T}\end{array}\right]^{T}$, where
- $z \sim \mathcal{N}\left(Q_{+}^{T} \bar{x}, \Sigma_{+}\right)$is (nondegenerate) Gaussian (hence, density formula holds)
- $w=Q_{0}^{T} \bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is not random
( $Q_{0}^{T} x$ is called deterministic component of $x$ )


## Linear measurements

linear measurements with noise:

$$
y=A x+v
$$

- $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is what we want to measure or estimate
- $y \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$ is measurement
- $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ characterizes sensors or measurements
- $v$ is sensor noise
common assumptions:
- $x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\bar{x}, \Sigma_{x}\right)$
- $v \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\bar{v}, \Sigma_{v}\right)$
- $x$ and $v$ are independent
- $\mathcal{N}\left(\bar{x}, \Sigma_{x}\right)$ is the prior distribution of $x$ (describes initial uncertainty about $x$ )
- $\bar{v}$ is noise bias or offset (and is usually 0 )
- $\Sigma_{v}$ is noise covariance
thus

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
v
\end{array}\right] \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{x} \\
\bar{v}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{x} & 0 \\
0 & \Sigma_{v}
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

using

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0 \\
A & I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
v
\end{array}\right]
$$

we can write

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{x} \\
A \bar{x}+\bar{v}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left[\begin{array}{l}
x-\bar{x} \\
y-\bar{y}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x-\bar{x} \\
y-\bar{y}
\end{array}\right]^{T} & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
A & I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{x} & 0 \\
0 & \Sigma_{v}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
A & I
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{x} & \Sigma_{x} A^{T} \\
A \Sigma_{x} & A \Sigma_{x} A^{T}+\Sigma_{v}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

covariance of measurement $y$ is $A \Sigma_{x} A^{T}+\Sigma_{v}$

- $A \Sigma_{x} A^{T}$ is 'signal covariance'
- $\Sigma_{v}$ is 'noise covariance'


## Minimum mean-square estimation

suppose $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $y \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$ are random vectors (not necessarily Gaussian) we seek to estimate $x$ given $y$
thus we seek a function $\phi: \mathbf{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $\hat{x}=\phi(y)$ is near $x$ one common measure of nearness: mean-square error,

$$
\mathbf{E}\|\phi(y)-x\|^{2}
$$

minimum mean-square estimator (MMSE) $\phi_{\text {mmse }}$ minimizes this quantity general solution: $\phi_{\text {mmse }}(y)=\mathbf{E}(x \mid y)$, i.e., the conditional expectation of $x$ given $y$

## MMSE for Gaussian vectors

now suppose $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $y \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$ are jointly Gaussian:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y
\end{array}\right] \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{x} \\
\bar{y}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{x} & \Sigma_{x y} \\
\Sigma_{x y}^{T} & \Sigma_{y}
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

(after alot of algebra) the conditional density is

$$
p_{x \mid y}(v \mid y)=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2}(\operatorname{det} \Lambda)^{-1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(v-w)^{T} \Lambda^{-1}(v-w)\right)
$$

where

$$
\Lambda=\Sigma_{x}-\Sigma_{x y} \Sigma_{y}^{-1} \Sigma_{x y}^{T}, \quad w=\bar{x}+\Sigma_{x y} \Sigma_{y}^{-1}(y-\bar{y})
$$

hence MMSE estimator (i.e., conditional expectation) is

$$
\hat{x}=\phi_{\mathrm{mmse}}(y)=\mathbf{E}(x \mid y)=\bar{x}+\Sigma_{x y} \Sigma_{y}^{-1}(y-\bar{y})
$$

$\phi_{\text {mmse }}$ is an affine function
MMSE estimation error, $\hat{x}-x$, is a Gaussian random vector

$$
\hat{x}-x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \Sigma_{x}-\Sigma_{x y} \Sigma_{y}^{-1} \Sigma_{x y}^{T}\right)
$$

note that

$$
\Sigma_{x}-\Sigma_{x y} \Sigma_{y}^{-1} \Sigma_{x y}^{T} \leq \Sigma_{x}
$$

i.e., covariance of estimation error is always less than prior covariance of $x$

## Best linear unbiased estimator

estimator

$$
\hat{x}=\phi_{\mathrm{blu}}(y)=\bar{x}+\Sigma_{x y} \Sigma_{y}^{-1}(y-\bar{y})
$$

makes sense when $x, y$ aren't jointly Gaussian
this estimator

- is unbiased, i.e., $\mathbf{E} \hat{x}=\mathbf{E} x$
- often works well
- is widely used
- has minimum mean square error among all affine estimators
sometimes called best linear unbiased estimator


## MMSE with linear measurements

consider specific case

$$
y=A x+v, \quad x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\bar{x}, \Sigma_{x}\right), \quad v \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\bar{v}, \Sigma_{v}\right)
$$

$x, v$ independent
MMSE of $x$ given $y$ is affine function

$$
\hat{x}=\bar{x}+B(y-\bar{y})
$$

where $B=\Sigma_{x} A^{T}\left(A \Sigma_{x} A^{T}+\Sigma_{v}\right)^{-1}, \bar{y}=A \bar{x}+\bar{v}$

## intepretation:

- $\bar{x}$ is our best prior guess of $x$ (before measurement)
- $y-\bar{y}$ is the discrepancy between what we actually measure $(y)$ and the expected value of what we measure ( $\bar{y}$ )
- estimator modifies prior guess by $B$ times this discrepancy
- estimator blends prior information with measurement
- B gives gain from observed discrepancy to estimate
- $B$ is small if noise term $\Sigma_{v}$ in 'denominator' is large


## MMSE error with linear measurements

MMSE estimation error, $\tilde{x}=\hat{x}-x$, is Gaussian with zero mean and covariance

$$
\Sigma_{\text {est }}=\Sigma_{x}-\Sigma_{x} A^{T}\left(A \Sigma_{x} A^{T}+\Sigma_{v}\right)^{-1} A \Sigma_{x}
$$

- $\Sigma_{\text {est }} \leq \Sigma_{x}$, i.e., measurement always decreases uncertainty about $x$
- difference $\Sigma_{x}-\Sigma_{\text {est }}$ gives value of measurement $y$ in estimating $x$
- e.g., $\left(\Sigma_{\text {est } i i} / \Sigma_{x i i}\right)^{1 / 2}$ gives fractional decrease in uncertainty of $x_{i}$ due to measurement
note: error covariance $\Sigma_{\text {est }}$ can be determined before measurement $y$ is made!
to evaluate $\Sigma_{\text {est }}$, only need to know
- $A$ (which characterizes sensors)
- prior covariance of $x$ (i.e., $\Sigma_{x}$ )
- noise covariance (i.e., $\Sigma_{v}$ )
you do not need to know the measurement $y$ (or the means $\bar{x}, \bar{v}$ ) useful for experiment design or sensor selection


## Information matrix formulas

we can write estimator gain matrix as

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =\Sigma_{x} A^{T}\left(A \Sigma_{x} A^{T}+\Sigma_{v}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1} A+\Sigma_{x}^{-1}\right)^{-1} A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $n \times n$ inverse instead of $m \times m$
- $\Sigma_{x}^{-1}, \Sigma_{v}^{-1}$ sometimes called information matrices
corresponding formula for estimator error covariance:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{\text {est }} & =\Sigma_{x}-\Sigma_{x} A^{T}\left(A \Sigma_{x} A^{T}+\Sigma_{v}\right)^{-1} A \Sigma_{x} \\
& =\left(A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1} A+\Sigma_{x}^{-1}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

can interpret $\Sigma_{\text {est }}^{-1}=\Sigma_{x}^{-1}+A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1} A$ as:
posterior information matrix $\left(\Sigma_{\text {est }}^{-1}\right)$
$=$ prior information matrix $\left(\Sigma_{x}^{-1}\right)$

+ information added by measurement $\left(A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1} A\right)$
proof: multiply

$$
\Sigma_{x} A^{T}\left(A \Sigma_{x} A^{T}+\Sigma_{v}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{?}{=}\left(A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1} A+\Sigma_{x}^{-1}\right)^{-1} A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1}
$$

on left by $\left(A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1} A+\Sigma_{x}^{-1}\right)$ and on right by $\left(A \Sigma_{x} A^{T}+\Sigma_{v}\right)$ to get

$$
\left(A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1} A+\Sigma_{x}^{-1}\right) \Sigma_{x} A^{T} \stackrel{?}{=} A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1}\left(A \Sigma_{x} A^{T}+\Sigma_{v}\right)
$$

which is true

## Relation to regularized least-squares

suppose $\bar{x}=0, \bar{v}=0, \Sigma_{x}=\alpha^{2} I, \Sigma_{v}=\beta^{2} I$
estimator is $\hat{x}=B y$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =\left(A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1} A+\Sigma_{x}^{-1}\right)^{-1} A^{T} \Sigma_{v}^{-1} \\
& =\left(A^{T} A+(\beta / \alpha)^{2} I\right)^{-1} A^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

. . . which corresponds to regularized least-squares
MMSE estimate $\hat{x}$ minimizes

$$
\|A z-y\|^{2}+(\beta / \alpha)^{2}\|z\|^{2}
$$

over $z$

## Example

navigation using range measurements to distant beacons

$$
y=A x+v
$$

- $x \in \mathbf{R}^{2}$ is location
- $y_{i}$ is range measurement to $i$ th beacon
- $v_{i}$ is range measurement error, IID $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$
- $i$ th row of $A$ is unit vector in direction of $i$ th beacon
prior distribution:

$$
x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\bar{x}, \Sigma_{x}\right), \quad \bar{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right], \quad \Sigma_{x}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0.5^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$x_{1}$ has std. dev. 2; $x_{2}$ has std. dev. 0.5

## 90\% confidence ellipsoid for prior distribution

$\left\{x \mid(x-\bar{x})^{T} \Sigma_{x}^{-1}(x-\bar{x}) \leq 4.6\right\}:$


Case 1: one measurement, with beacon at angle $30^{\circ}$
fewer measurements than variables, so combining prior information with measurement is critical
resulting estimation error covariance:

$$
\Sigma_{\text {est }}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1.046 & -0.107 \\
-0.107 & 0.246
\end{array}\right]
$$

$90 \%$ confidence ellipsoid for estimate $\hat{x}$ : (and $90 \%$ confidence ellipsoid for $x)$

interpretation: measurement

- yields essentially no reduction in uncertainty in $x_{2}$
- reduces uncertainty in $x_{1}$ by a factor about two

Case 2: 4 measurements, with beacon angles $80^{\circ}, 85^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 95^{\circ}$ resulting estimation error covariance:

$$
\Sigma_{\mathrm{est}}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
3.429 & -0.074 \\
-0.074 & 0.127
\end{array}\right]
$$

$90 \%$ confidence ellipsoid for estimate $\hat{x}$ : (and $90 \%$ confidence ellipsoid for $x$ )

interpretation: measurement yields

- little reduction in uncertainty in $x_{1}$
- small reduction in uncertainty in $x_{2}$

